## THE LIVING AGE



### CONTENTS

for November, 1933

#### Articles

International Survey	197
I. WAR PAYS	198
II. Speech of a Fool	205
III. SECOND THOUGHTS ON GERMANY	208
IV. LIFE IN A NAZI CAMP	210
V. JAZZ IN JAPAN Professor Leopold Winkler	213
VI. THE POETRY OF CHESTERTON	216
VII. RUBBER PIRATES OF THE AMAZON	219
THE DOCTRINE OF FASCISM	235
THE PRICE OF RECOVERY	245
THE REVOLUTION IN AGRICULTURE	251
The same of the sa	
Departments	
THE WORLD OVER	189
Persons and Personages	
THE LATE KING FAISAL	225
INTERVIEWING GENERAL ARAKI	228
SACHA GUITRY Francis de Croisset	231
BOOKS ABROAD	260
LETTERS AND THE ARTS	267
As Others See Us.	271
OUR OWN BOOKSHELF.	275
CORRESPONDENCE.	277
WITH THE ADVISORY COUNCIL	279
WAR AND PEACE	282
LEACE.	

Whig Age, Published monthly, Publication office, 10 FERRY STREET, CONCORD, N. H. Editorial and General offices, 253 Broadway Vork City. 50c a copy, \$6.00 a year. Canada, \$6.50. Foreign, \$7.00. Entered as second-class matter at the Post Office at H., under the Act of Congress, March 3, 1879. Copyright, 1933, by The Living Age Corporation, New York, New York.

was established by E. Littell, in Boston, Massachusetts, May, 1844. It was first known as Littell's Living Age, since a Museum of Foreign Literature, which had been previously published in Philadelphia for more than twenty years. In a summarise of the Charles o

Secribers are requested to send notices of changes of address three weeks before they are to take effect. Failure to send such notices are requested to send notices of changes of address three weeks before they are to take effect. Failure to send such notices in the incorrect forwarding of the next copy and delay in its receipt. Old and new addresses must both be given.

eighbors is the te after another ther words, the to-day is the y, peace, long. s, faith, meek. in our homes, nation, in the y, president of delegate to the

Jew. Scientific Fosef Goebbels.

ntage over the 10 out-of-date illy the case

al factory in formed into a ce 1930 in all rmany there the capacity um delay of xplosives for - Dernières Daily.

zing that the t war amply en, the posen considerand quality. his place in r, he is one nst his will. o make us e next war. his rôle.

To remain ced with a r individual prohibition prohibition

knowledge hat attends for events ppeal from The creator of Fascism defines his political and social doctrines, which are now spreading throughout the world.

# The Doctrine of FASCISM

By Benito Mussolini

Translated by JANE SOAMES
From the Political Quarterly
London Quarterly

[This is an authorized translation of an article contributed by the Duce last year to the 14th volume of the 'Enciclopedia Italiana.' References to and some quotations from it have appeared in the press, but no translation of this statement by Mussolini of the philosophic basis of Fascism has yet been published in English.—Editors, 'Political Quarterly.']

WHEN, in the now distant March of 1919, I summoned a meeting at Milan through the columns of the Popolo d'Italia of the surviving members of the Interventionist Party, who had themselves been in action, and who had followed me since the creation of the Fascist Revolutionary Party (which took place in the January of 1915), I had no specific doctrinal attitude in my mind. I had a living experience of one doctrine only—that of Socialism, from 1903-4 to the winter of 1914, that is to say, about a

decade. But, even though I had taken part in the movement first as a member of the rank and file and then later as a leader, I had no experience of the Socialist doctrine in practice. My own doctrine, even in this period, had always been a doctrine of action.

A unanimous, universally accepted theory of Socialism did not exist after 1905, when the revisionist movement began in Germany under the leadership of Bernstein, while under pressure of the tendencies of the time a left revolutionary movement also appeared, which, though never getting further than talk in Italy, in Russian Socialistic circles laid the foundations of Bolshevism. Reformation, revolution, centralization-already the echoes of these terms are spent, while in the great stream of Fascism are to be found ideas that began with Sorel, with Peguy, with Lagerdelle in the Mouvement Socialiste, and with the

in the

badly years lanted asure crafts-

other Detter ghtyn day

Some of a nedy ecog-

in a s do ther

leas, talk does

he's cha. the

nius nole

for

ver ant his me

cha earhis

In uld

he

Italian trade-union movement, which throughout the period, 1904-14, was sounding a new note in Italian Socialist circles (already weakened by the betrayal of Giolitti) through Olivetti's Pagine Libere, Orano's La Lupa, and Enrico Leone's Divenire Sociale.

After the War, in 1919, Socialism was already dead as a doctrine; it existed only as a hatred. There remained to it only one possibility of action, especially in Italy-reprisals against those who had desired the War and who must now be made to 'expiate' its results. The Popolo d'Italia was then given the subtitle, 'The Newspaper of Ex-Service Men and Producers,' and the word 'producers' was already the expression of a mental attitude. Fascism was not the nursling of a doctrine worked out beforehand with detailed elaboration; it was born of the need for action and was from the beginning practical rather than theoretical; it was not merely another political party but, even in the first two years, stood in opposition to all political parties as such and was itself a living movement.

The name that I then gave to the organization fixed its character. And yet, if one were to reread, in the now dusty columns of that date, the report of the meeting in which the Fasci Italiani di combattimento were constituted, one would find there no ordered expression of doctrine, but a series of aphorisms, anticipations, and aspirations which, when refined by time from the original ore, were destined after some years to develop into an ordered series of doctrinal concepts, forming the Fascist political doctrine-different from all others either of the past or the present day.

'If the bourgeoisie,' I said then,

think that they will find lightning think that the start work are the more conductors in us, they are the more deceived; we must start work at once, ... We want to accustom the work. ing class to real and effectual leader ship, and also to convince them that it is no easy thing to direct an industry or a commercial enterprise success fully. . . . We shall combat every ret. rograde idea, technical or spiritual ... When the succession to the seat of government is open, we must not be unwilling to fight for it. We must make haste; when the present régime breaks down, we must be ready at once to take its place. It is we who have the right to the succession, because it was we who forced the country into the War, and led her to victory. The present method of political representation cannot suffice; we must have a representation direct from the individuals concerned. It may be objected against this programme that it is a return to the conception of the corporation, but that is no matter. Therefore, I desire that this assembly shall accept the revindication of national trade-unionism from the economic point of view.'

Now is it not a singular thing that even on this first day in the Piazza San Sepolcro that word 'corporation' arose, which later, in the course of the Revolution, came to express one of the creations of social legislation at the very foundation of the régime?

The years that preceded the March to Rome were years of great difficulty, during which the necessity for action did not permit of research or any complete elaboration of doctrine. The battle had to be fought in the towns 1933

and villag cussion, bu tant and They kne beautifully cidated, graphs, n was, to ta

decisive-Even s events of books, a and spee portance research that th were ca flict. It that Fa refined. organiz lation and th author social cificall ing so same alism, Maso

> tive e Bu some of Fa nied duce that shap thou to al asper nega **Posit**

> > reali tions

conte

Vovember

lightning the more at once. he work. l leader em that industry successvery retpiritual. he seat ust not

e must régime ady at re who on, becounto viclitical must m the e obhat it of the

the that azza tion' f the e of n at

?

atter.

is as-

ation

arch ılty, tion any The wns

and villages. There was much disand vinages, what was more imporcussion, and more sacred—men died. that knew how to die. Doctrine, They beautifully defined and carefully elubeautiful, with headlines and paraeidated, might be lacking; but here graphs, to take its place, something more decisive-faith.

Even so, anyone who can recall the events of the time through the aid of books, articles, votes of congresses, and speeches of great and minor importance, anyone who knows how to research and weigh evidence, will find that the fundamentals of doctrine were cast during the years of confict. It was precisely in those years that Fascist thought armed itself, was refined, and began the great task of organization. The problem of the relation between the individual citizen and the state; the allied problems of authority and liberty; political and social problems as well as those specifically national—a solution was being sought for all these while at the same time the struggle against Liberalism, Democracy, Socialism and the Masonic bodies was being carried on, contemporaneously with the 'punitive expedition.'

But, since there was inevitably some lack of system, the adversaries of Fascism have disingenuously denied that it had any capacity to produce a doctrine of its own, though that doctrine was growing and taking shape under their very eyes, even though tumultuously, first, as happens to all ideas in their beginnings, in the aspect of a violent and dogmatic negation, and then in the aspect of positive construction that found its realization in the laws and institutions of the régime as enacted suc-

cessively in the years 1926, 1927, and

Fascism is now a completely individual thing, not only as a régime but as a doctrine. And this means that to-day Fascism, exercising its critical sense upon itself and upon others, has formed its own distinct and peculiar point of view, to which it can refer and upon which, therefore, it can act in the face of all problems, practical or intellectual, which confront the world.

And above all Fascism, the more it considers and observes the future and the development of humanity quite apart from political considerations of the moment, believes in neither the possibility nor the utility of perpetual peace. It thus repudiates the doctrine of pacifism-born of a renunciation of the struggle and an act of cowardice in the face of sacrifice. War alone brings up to its highest tension all human energy and puts the stamp of nobility upon the peoples who have the courage to meet it. All other trials are substitutes that never really put men into the position where they have to make the great decision—the alternative of life or death. Thus a doctrine that is founded upon this harmful postulate of peace is hostile to Fascism. And thus hostile to the spirit of Fascism, though accepted for what use they can be in dealing with particular political situations, are all the international leagues and societies, which, as history will show, can be scattered to the winds when once strong national feeling is aroused by any motive -sentimental, ideal, or practical.

This anti-pacifist spirit is carried by Fascism even into the life of the individual; the proud motto of the

Squadrista, 'Me ne frego,' written on the bandage of a wound, is not only an act of stoic philosophy, not only the summary of a political doctrine; it is the education to combat, the acceptation of the risks that combat implies, and a new way of life for Italy. Thus the Fascist accepts life and loves it, knowing nothing of and despising suicide; rather does he conceive of life as duty and struggle and conquest, life that should be high and full, lived for one's self, but above all for others—those who are at hand, and those who are far distant; contemporaries, and those who will come after.

This 'demographic' policy of the régime is the result of the above premise. Thus the Fascist loves in actual fact his neighbor, but this 'neighbor' is not merely a vague and undefined concept, this love for one's neighbor puts no obstacle in the way of necessary educational severity, and still less to differentiation of status and to physical distance. Fascism repudiates any universal embrace, and in order to live worthily in the community of civilized peoples watches its contemporaries with vigilant eyes, takes good note of their state of mind and, in the changing trend of their interests, does not allow itself to be deceived by temporary and fallacious appearances.

III

Such a conception of life makes Fascism the complete opposite of that doctrine, the base of so-called scientific and Marxian Socialism, the materialist conception of history, according to which the history of human civilization can be explained simply through the conflict of interests among

the various social groups and by the change and development in the means and instruments of production. That the changes in the economic field new discoveries of raw materials, new methods of working them, and the inventions of science—have their im portance no one can deny; but that these factors are sufficient to explain the history of humanity excluding all others is an absurd delusion. Fascism now and always, believes in holiness and in heroism; that is to say, in actions influenced by no economic motive, direct or indirect.

And if the economic conception of history be denied, according to which theory men are no more than puppets, carried to and fro by the waves of chance while the real directing forces are quite out of their control, it follows that the existence of an unchangeable and unchanging class war is also denied—the natural progeny of the economic conception of history. And above all Fascism denies that class war can be the preponderant force in the transformation of society.

These two fundamental concepts of Socialism being thus refuted, nothing is left of it but the sentimental aspiration—as old as humanity itself—toward a social convention in which the sorrows and sufferings of the humblest shall be alleviated. But here again Fascism repudiates the conception of 'economic' happiness, to be realized by Socialism and, as it were, at a given moment in economic evolution to assure to everyone the maximum of well-being. Fascism denies the materialist conception of happiness as a possibility, and abandons it to its inventors, the economists of the first half of the nineteenth century; that is to say, Fascism denies the

validity equals

1933

duce m caring f and well humani istence.

After the wh cratic whethe in its denies ple fa direct numbe of a affirm fruitfu can I throu chani suffra be de the p while lies in and is a r but i absol

> one s Th first ency towa point Rom tion prim studi and r the c

repul

validity of the equation, well-being validity of the equation, well-being happiness, which would requals happiness, which would requals happiness, which would requals for one thing only—to be fat caring for one thing only—to be fat caring fled—and would thus degrade and well fed—and would thus degrade and well fed—and would thus degrade humanity to a purely physical existence.

he

ns

at

W

le

1-

it

After Socialism, Fascism combats the whole complex system of democratic ideology, and repudiates it, whether in its theoretical premises or in its practical application. Fascism denies that the majority, by the simple fact that it is a majority, can direct human society; it denies that numbers alone can govern by means of a periodical consultation, and it affirms the immutable, beneficial, and fruitful inequality of mankind, which can never be permanently leveled through the mere operation of a mechanical process such as universal suffrage. The democratic régime may be defined as from time to time giving the people the illusion of sovereignty, while the real, effective sovereignty lies in the hands of other, concealed and irresponsible forces. Democracy is a régime nominally without a king, but it is ruled by many kings, more absolute, tyrannical, and ruinous than one sole king, even though a tyrant.

This explains why Fascism, having first in 1922 (for reasons of expediency) assumed an attitude tending toward republicanism, renounced this point of view before the March to Rome, being convinced that the question of political form is not to-day of prime importance; and, after having studied the examples of monarchies and republics past and present, reached the conclusion that monarchism and republicanism are not to be judged,

as it were, by an absolute standard, but that they represent forms in which the evolution—political, historical, traditional, or psychological of a particular country has expressed itself. Fascism supersedes the antithesis, monarchism or republicanism, while democracy still tarries beneath the domination of this idea, forever pointing out the insufficiency of the first and forever praising the second as the perfect régime. To-day, it can be seen that there are republics innately reactionary and absolutist, and also monarchies that incorporate the most ardent social and political hopes of the future.

'Reason and science,' says Renan (one of the inspired pre-Fascists) in his philosophical meditations, 'are products of humanity, but to expect reason as a direct product of the people and a direct result of their action is to deceive one's self by a chimera. It is not necessary for the existence of reason that everybody should understand it. And, in any case, if such a decimation of truth were necessary, it could not be achieved in a low-class democracy, which seems as though it must of its very nature extinguish any kind of noble training. The principle that society exists solely through the well-being and the personal liberty of all the individuals of which it is composed does not appear to be conformable to the plans of nature, in whose workings the race alone seems to be taken into consideration, and the individual sacrificed to it. It is greatly to be feared that the last stage of such a conception of democracy (though I must hasten to point out that the term "democracy" may be interpreted in various ways) would end in a condition of society in which

a degenerate herd would have no other preoccupation but the satisfaction of the lowest desires of common

Thus Renan. Fascism denies, in demen. mocracy, the absurd conventional untruth of political equality dressed out in the garb of collective irresponsibility, and the myth of 'happiness' and indefinite progress. But, if democracy may be conceived in diverse forms, that is to say, taking democracy to mean a state of society in which the populace are not reduced to impotence in the state, -Fascism may write itself down as 'an organized, centralized, and authoritative democracy.'

Fascism has taken up an attitude of complete opposition to the doctrines of Liberalism, both in the political field and in the field of economics. There should be no undue exaggeration (simply with the object of immediate success in controversy) of the importance of Liberalism in the last century, nor should what was but one among many theories that appeared in that period be put forward as a religion for humanity for all time, present and to come. Liberalism flourished only for half a century. It was born in 1830 in reaction against the Holy Alliance, which had been formed with the object of diverting the destinies of Europe back to the period before 1789, and the highest point of its success was the year 1848, when even Pius IX was a Liberal.

Immediately after that date it began to decay, for if the year 1848 was a year of light and hope, the following year, 1849, was a year of darkness and tragedy. The Republic of Rome was

dealt a mortal blow by a sister republic that of France—and in the same year Marx launched the gospel of the Socialist religion, the famous Communist Manifesto. In 1851 Napoleon III carried out his far from liberal coup d'état and reigned in France until 1870, when he was deposed by a popular movement as the consequence of a military defeat that must be counted as one of the most decisive in history. The victor was Bismarck, who knew nothing of the religion of liberty, or the prophets by which that faith was revealed.

And it is symptomatic that such a highly civilized people as the Germans were completely ignorant of the religion of liberty during the whole of the nineteenth century. It was nothing but a parenthesis, represented by that body which has been called 'the ridiculous Parliament of Frankfurt, which lasted only for a short period. Germany attained her national unity quite outside the doctrine of Liberalism,—a doctrine that seems entirely foreign to the German mind, a mind essentially monarchic, -while Liberalism is the logical and, indeed, historical forerunner of anarchy. The stages in the achievement of German unity are the three wars of 1864, 1866, and 1870, which were guided by such 'Liberals' as Von Moltke and Bismarck.

As for Italian unity, its debt to Liberalism is completely inferior in contrast to that which it owes to the work of Mazzini and Garibaldi, who were not Liberals. Had it not been for the intervention of the anti-Liberal Napoleon, we should not have gained Lombardy; and without the help of the again anti-Liberal Bismarck at Sadowa and Sedan it is very probable 1933

that we s province able to en to 1914 even the gion the gatherin defeated literatur that is Fascism

The accumi knots, slaugh never | votari Perha for blo eral f desert the p its W econo of po has a addit that ent there to c the histo serv alon fina

> B ism not des sta whi ope

tion

that we should never have gained the that we of Venice in 1866, or been province of Rome in 1870. province Rome in 1870. From 1870 able to a period began during which to 1914 a received high priests of the relieven the religion themselves had to recognize the gathering twilight of their faith defeated as it was by the decadence of defeated and atavism in practice that is to say, nationalism, futurism,

ber

olic

me

he

ш-II

up

10,

ar

у.

W

or

is

a

r-

e

of

1-

y

1

h

O

n

0

r

ıl

ıt

e

The era of Liberalism, after having accumulated an infinity of Gordian knots, tried to untie them in the slaughter of the World War—and never has any religion demanded of its votaries such a monstrous sacrifice. Perhaps the Liberal gods were athirst for blood? But now, to-day, the Liberal faith must shut the doors of its deserted temples, deserted because the peoples of the world realize that its worship-agnostic in the field of economics and indifferent in the field of politics and morals-will lead, as it has already led, to certain ruin. In addition to this, let it be pointed out that all the political hopes of the present day are anti-Liberal, and it is therefore supremely ridiculous to try to classify this sole creed as outside the judgment of history, as though history were a hunting ground reserved for the professors of Liberalism alone—as though Liberalism were the final, unalterable verdict of civilization.

VI

But the Fascist negation of Social-1sm, Democracy and Liberalism must not be taken to mean that Fascism desires to lead the world back to the state of affairs before 1789, the date which seems to be indicated as the opening years of the succeeding semi-

Liberal century. We do not desire to turn back; Fascism has not chosen De Maistre for its high priest. Absolute monarchy has been and can never return, any more than blind acceptance of ecclesiastical authority.

So too the privileges of the feudal system 'have been,' and the division of society into castes impenetrable from outside, and with no intercommunication among themselves; the Fascist conception of authority has nothing to do with such a polity. A party that entirely governs a nation is a fact entirely new to history; there are no possible references or parallels. Fascism uses in its construction whatever elements in the Liberal, Socialist, or Democratic doctrines still have a living value; it maintains what may be called the certainties that we owe to history, but it rejects all the restthat is to say, the conception that there can be any doctrine of unquestioned efficacy for all times and all peoples.

Granted that the nineteenth century was the century of Socialism, of Liberalism, and of Democracy, it does not necessarily follow that the twentieth century must also be a century of Socialism, Liberalism, and Democracy. Political doctrines pass, but humanity remains, and it may rather be expected that this will be a century of authority, a century of the left, a century of Fascism; for if the nineteenth century was a century of individualism (Liberalism always signifying individualism) it may be expected that this will be the century of collectivism, and hence the century of the state. It is a perfectly logical deduction that a new doctrine can utilize all the still vital elements of previous doctrines.

The foundation of Fascism is the conception of the state, its character, its duty, and its aim. Fascism conceives of the state as an absolute, in comparison with which all individuals or groups are relative, only to be conceived of in their relation to the state. The conception of the Liberal state is not that of a directing force, guiding the play and development, both material and spiritual, of a collective body, but merely that of a force limited to the function of recording results. On the other hand, the Fascist state is itself conscious, and has itself a will and a personality. Thus it may be called the 'ethic' state. In 1929, at the first five-yearly assembly of the Fascist régime, I said:

'For us Fascists, the state is not merely a guardian, preoccupied solely with the duty of assuring the personal safety of the citizens; nor is it an organization with purely material aims, such as to guarantee a certain level of well-being and peaceful conditions of life; for a mere council of administration would be sufficient to realize such objects. Nor is it a purely political creation, divorced from all contact with the complex material reality that makes up the life of the individual and the life of the people as a whole.

The state, as conceived of and as created by Fascism, is a spiritual and moral fact in itself, since its political, juridical, and economic organization of the nation is a concrete thing: and such an organization must be in its origins and development a manifestation of the spirit. The state is the guarantor of security both internal and external, but it is also the custodian and transmitter of the spirit

of the people, as it has grown up of the people, through the centuries in language, in customs, and in faith. And the state is not only a living reality of the present; it is also linked with the past and above all with the future and, thus transcending the brief limits of individual life, it represents the immanent spirit of the nation, The forms in which states express themselves may change, but the neces sity for such forms is eternal.

It is the state that educates its citizens in civic virtue, gives them a consciousness of their mission, and welds them into unity, harmonizing their various interests through justice, and transmitting to future generations the mental conquests of science, of art, of law, and the solidarity of humanity. It leads men from primitive tribal life to that highest expression of human power which is empire; it links up through the centuries the names of those of its members who have died for its existence and in obedience to its laws; it holds up the memory of the leaders who have increased its territory and the geniuses who have illumined it with glory as an example to be followed by future generations. When the conception of the state declines, and disunifying and centrifugal tendencies prevail, whether of individuals or of particular groups, the nations where such phenomena appear are in their decline.'

VII

From 1929 until to-day evolution, both political and economic, has everywhere gone to prove the validity of these doctrinal premises. Of such gigantic importance is the state. It is the force that alone can provide a 1933

solution to tions of car affairs which be dealt w between ot Where is who in the claimed tl

to make i pare the Or of Mc half of th the state danger of would t say to-c inevitabl state in . while a dustry state th German whom consider second were le Adam S -if o leads to nomic t

> ever sa Yet an orig ary, b anticip sal po have field 1 excess régime politic proble

> > syster

tinual

much

ism' in

solution capitalism, and that state of

tions of which we call the crisis can affairs with only by the

affairs with only by the state, as be dealt with only by the state, as

Where is the shade of Jules Simon,

who in the dawn of Liberalism pro-

who is that 'the state must labor

to make itself unnecessary, and pre-

pare the way for its own dismissal'?

or of McCulloch, who, in the second

half of the last century, affirmed that

the state must guard against the

danger of governing too much? What

would the Englishman, Bentham,

say to-day to the continual and

inevitably invoked intervention of the

state in the sphere of economics,

while according to his theories in-

dustry should ask no more of the

state than to be left in peace? Or the

German, Humboldt, according to

whom the 'lazy' state should be

considered the best? It is true that the

second wave of Liberal economists

were less extreme than the first, and

Adam Smith himself opened the door

-if only very cautiously-which

leads to state intervention in the eco-

nomic field, but whoever says 'Liberal-

ism' implies individualism, and who-

between other states.

ember

n up ge, in state the the

prief ents ion.

its a

ng ISnof

n t

ress

nd 1-

ure,

S

es-

ever says 'Fascism' implies the state. Yet the Fascist state is unique, and an original creation. It is not reactionary, but revolutionary, in that it anticipates the solution of the universal political problems that elsewhere

have to be settled in the political field by the rivalry of parties, the excessive power of the parliamentary régime, and the irresponsibility of political assemblies; while it meets the problems of the economic field by a system of syndicalism that is continually increasing in importance, as much in the sphere of labor as of

industry; and in the moral field enforces order, discipline, and obedience to that which is the determined moral code of the country. Fascism desires the state to be a strong and organic body, at the same time reposing upon broad and popular support. The Fascist state has drawn into itself even the economic activities of the nation, and, through the corporative social and educational institutions created by it, its influence reaches every aspect of the national life and includes, framed in their respective organizations, all the political, economic, and spiritual forces of the nation.

A state that reposes upon the support of millions of individuals who recognize its authority, are continually conscious of its power, and are ready at once to serve it is not the old tyrannical state of mediæval lords nor has it anything in common with the absolute governments either before or after 1789. The individual in the Fascist state is not annulled but rather multiplied, just in the same way that a soldier in a regiment is not diminished but rather increased by the number of his comrades. The Fascist state organizes the nation, but leaves a sufficient margin of liberty to the individual; the latter is deprived of all useless and possibly harmful freedom, but retains what is essential; the deciding power in this question cannot be the individual, but the state alone.

#### VIII

The Fascist state is not indifferent to the fact of religion in general, or to that particular and positive faith which is Italian Catholicism. The

state professes no theology, but a morality, and in the Fascist state religion is considered as one of the deepest manifestations of the spirit of man; thus it is not only respected but defended and protected. The Fascist state has never tried to create its own God, as at one moment Robespierre and the wildest extremists of the Convention tried to do; nor does it vainly seek to obliterate religion from the hearts of men as does Bolshevism. Fascism respects the God of the ascetics, the saints, and the heroes, and equally God as he is perceived and worshiped by simple

people.

The Fascist state is an embodied will to power and government; the Roman tradition is here an ideal of force in action. According to Fascism, government is a thing to be expressed not so much in territorial or military terms as in terms of morality and the spirit. It must be thought of as an empire—that is to say a nation that directly or indirectly rules other nations, without the need for conquering a single square yard of territory. For Fascism the growth of empire, that is to say the expansion of the nation, is an essential manifestation of vitality, and its opposite a sign of decadence. Peoples that are rising, or rising again after a period of decadence, are always imperialist; any renunciation is a sign of decay and of death. Fascism is the doctrine best adapted to represent the tendencies

and the aspirations of a people, like the people of Italy, who are rising the people again after many centuries of abase. ment and foreign servitude.

But empire demands discipline, the coördination of all forces, and a deeply felt sense of duty and sacra fice. This fact explains many aspects of the practical working of the regime, the character of many forces in the state, and the necessarily severe measures that must be taken against those who would oppose this spon. taneous and inevitable movement of Italy in the twentieth century, and would oppose it by recalling the out worn ideology of the nineteenth century-repudiated wheresoever there has been the courage to undertake great experiments of social and political transformation; for never before has the nation stood more in need of authority, of direction, and of order. If every age has its own characteristic doctrine, there are a thousand signs that point to Fascism as the characteristic doctrine of our time. For—if a doctrine must be a living thing—this is proved by the fact that Fascism has created a living faith; and that this faith is very powerful in the minds of men is demonstrated by those who have suffered and died for it.

Fascism has henceforth in the world the universality of all those doctrines which, in realizing themselves, have represented a stage in the history of the human spirit.